FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 52

[WC Docket No. 18-336; FCC 21-119; FR 61458]

Implementation of the National Suicide Hotline Improvement Act of 2018

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) requires all covered text providers to support text messaging to 988, the 3-digit dialing code to reach the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline, by July 16, 2022. Given the popularity of text messaging, particularly among at-risk populations, it is essential for Americans to be able to text the Lifeline with the same short, easy-to-remember code by which they will be able to call the Lifeline.

DATES: This rule is effective [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Sclater, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-0388, Michelle.Sclater@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Second Report and Order (SRO) in WC Docket No. 18-336, adopted on November 18, 2021and released on November 19, 2021. The document is available for download at https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-21-119A1.pdf. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to FCC504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (TTY).

Synopsis

I. SECOND REPORT AND ORDER

A. Text-to-988 Will Save Lives

- 1. We conclude that requiring covered text providers to support text-to-988 will save lives. No commenter in the record opposes adoption of a text-to-988 requirement. As Americans become more reliant on texting to communicate, the need to access mental health assistance and resources by text is essential. Text messaging to the Lifeline will facilitate access to critical mental health resources for all, and particularly for at-risk populations who tend to prefer communicating through text rather than phone calls.
- 2. The record reflects overwhelming support for the conclusion that text-to-988 functionality will greatly improve consumer access to the Lifeline. Over 14 National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) offices across the United States filed in support of text messaging to 988. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), the Government agency responsible for overseeing the Lifeline, states that texting capability would improve equitable access to the Lifeline, especially for at-risk communities; and Vibrant, the administrator of the Lifeline, also notes that "text-to-988 capability would improve consumer accessibility to the Lifeline and save lives." Mental Health America suggests that "[i]f 988 is implemented without support for text messaging, individuals in need of mental health crisis services, particularly youth and adolescents, will remain unanswered." A bipartisan group of U.S. Representatives from Colorado express their support, stating that "[b]y allowing a text-to-988 option in addition to voice call, the Commission can lower the bar to entry and improve access to crisis counseling and mental health services." Text-to-988 will provide greater access to anyone who is not comfortable calling the Lifeline or cannot make a phone call. For instance, individuals who are in abusive or controlling situations may feel safer texting than making a verbal call when in a crisis. Similarly, for individuals who are helping someone who is experiencing symptoms such as paranoia or delusions and appears threatening, texting offers greater safety when reaching out for crisis assistance.
 - 3. The record also demonstrates that requiring covered text providers to support text-

to-988 functionality will provide significant benefits to at-risk populations, particularly to young Americans who are disproportionately at risk for mental health crises. Research shows that serious psychological distress, major depression, and suicidal thoughts and attempts among adolescents and young adults have increased significantly in recent years. SAMHSA explains that individuals who send texts or online chats to the Lifeline both skew younger and are more likely to experience current suicidal ideation relative to the categories of individuals who typically access the Lifeline via phone. Nearly 95% of teens have access to smart phones and report that texting is the primary way by which they connect. According to Mental Health America, "[m]ultiple sources of data demonstrate youth prefer communicating by text rather than calls," including a study finding that young people "were more likely to forgo psychological support than talk in person or over the phone." Nevada, which conducted one of the country's first text messaging for crisis response pilot programs, TextToday, found an increase in helpseeking behaviors by youth as a result of the program and a preference for texting among the youth age cohort. Some members of at-risk populations may prefer or find it easier to access the Lifeline via text as compared to the online chat portal, which requires people to have Internet access, find the website, and locate the chat portal. A survey addressing how teens are coping and connecting during COVID-19 reported that 65% of teens used texting to communicate with friends and family more than usual in response to the pandemic.

4. In addition to young Americans, text-to-988 will help other American communities that are disproportionately impacted by suicide, including Veterans, LGBTQ+ individuals, racial and ethnic minorities, and rural Americans. Death by suicide amongst Veterans has steadily increased over the past several years. Furthermore, the suicide rate has risen faster among Veterans than it has for non-Veteran adults. LGBTQ+ youth are nearly five times as likely to have attempted suicide compared to heterosexual youth, and the suicide rate for Black children ages 5-12 is about two times higher compared to white children. The record indicates that these at-risk communities may use text services at higher rates than other

communities. For example, NAMI reports that people of color text at a higher rate than white individuals, and lower-income households send twice as many texts than households with higher incomes. Mental Health America notes that data they collected demonstrate that individuals "who identify as Black or African American are more likely to report that they would like to receive a phone number they can immediately call or text for help" than members of any other race or ethnicity. Individuals from communities, religious groups, or ethnic backgrounds that have been found to have lower professional help-seeking behaviors or whose communities are less typically accepting of mental health treatment will also benefit from the added privacy of seeking crisis support via text.

- 5. Text messaging has also become a crucial form of communication for people who are deaf, hard of hearing, or have other disabilities that impact communication. Studies find an increased risk of suicide among deaf and hard of hearing people when compared to those without hearing loss. These individuals have increasingly adopted widely available text messaging platforms in lieu of specialized legacy devices, such as text telephones (TTY), because of the ease of access, wide availability, and practicability of modern text-capable devices. Some individuals with disabilities find it more effective to access mental health support through text messaging over other means of communications. Vibrant notes that for individuals in the disability community, the ability to text crisis services directly, without need for an intermediary interpreter or service, provides "substantial benefit." SAMHSA highlights the convenience texting would provide to people with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), who are at an increased risk for suicide, yet may have "difficulties with back and forth conversations, and may therefore prefer to text rather than call the Lifeline." Access to communications capabilities for individuals with disabilities is a longstanding Commission priority and statutory obligation. Our requirement to support text-to-988 broadens access to 988 and helps ensure individuals with disabilities that impact communication can more easily reach lifesaving resources.
 - 6. The Commission's designation of 988 as the 3-digit telephone number for the

Lifeline reflected its expectation that a simple, easy-to-remember, 3-digit dialing code for suicide prevention and mental health crisis counseling would "help increase the effectiveness of suicide prevention efforts, ease access to crisis services, reduce the stigma surrounding suicide and mental health conditions, and ultimately save lives." We conclude that providing text access at the same short code number will generate synergies that enhance the value of efforts to promote 988. We are also mindful that the promotion and availability of the 988 short code for telephone calls to the Lifeline crisis hotline, and by extension the Veterans Crisis Line, could create confusion as to whether that number is available for, and capable of, receiving text messages. We find that requiring providers to implement text-to-988 will also help to avoid confusion or putting lives at risk.

B. Designating a Wholly Unique 3-Digit Dialing Code vs. an Existing N11.

7. We adopt our proposed two-step process to establish the scope of text messages that fall within our text-to-988 requirement (86 FR 31404, June 11, 2021). While we acknowledge the importance of testing and coordination between covered text providers and the Lifeline, we decline at this time to adopt the Department of Veterans Affairs' (VA's) proposed "third-step" to our scope of text messages because the proposed testing and validation process is not germane to ex ante defining the scope of covered text providers. First, we establish an outer bound definition of "988 text message" that sets the maximum possible scope of text formats which covered text providers may be obligated to support for delivery to 988, based on the definition of "text message" that Congress enacted in 2018 in the Truth in Caller ID context. Second, we establish a process to ensure that covered text providers only must enable transmission of text messages in formats that the Lifeline can actually receive. We also define the scope of entities subject to our text-to-988 requirements—i.e., "covered text providers"—to be consistent with our text-to-911 rules, which include Commercial Mobile Radio Services (CMRS) providers and providers of interconnected text messaging services. We find that this approach, in combination, provides a forward-looking, flexible scope that will expand with the capabilities of the Lifeline without

unnecessarily obligating covered text providers to support formats that the Lifeline cannot yet receive.

1. Scope of Covered Text Formats

- 8. Outer Bound Definition. Consistent with our proposal in the further notice of proposed rulemaking (FNPRM) (86 FR 31404, June 11, 2021), we adopt the Truth in Caller ID definition of "text message"—including the definitions for "short message service" (SMS) and, as a requirement when Lifeline is able to support it, "multimedia message service" (MMS)—as the outer bound scope of text messages that covered text providers may be obligated to transmit to 988, which provides that the term (1) means a message consisting of text, images, sounds, or other information that is transmitted to or from a device that is identified as the receiving or transmitting device by means of a 10-digit telephone number or N11 service code; (2) includes a SMS message and a multimedia message service (commonly referred to as 'MMS') message; and (3) does not include—(i) a real-time, two-way voice or video communication; or (ii) a message sent over an internet protocol (IP)-enabled messaging service to another user of the same messaging service, except a message described in clause (2).
- 9. We find that there are several advantages to adopting the Truth in Caller ID definition in the text-to-988 context. The definition encompasses, but is not exclusive to, SMS and MMS messages without limiting the outer bounds of supported text formats to specific technologies, thus providing flexibility for inclusion of future text message formats under the rules. It also represents a recent definition provided by Congress, albeit in a different policy context. We slightly modify the Truth in Caller ID definition to account for the 988 context by adopting our proposal to add "or 988" to the phrase from the Truth in Caller ID definition "10-digit telephone number or N11 service code." This modification will ensure that covered text providers' obligations encompass those text messages sent to the Lifeline via the 3-digit code 988. We also add language clarifying that the definition we adopt "includes and is not limited to" SMS and MMS messages. This addition clarifies that the word "includes," within the definition we adopt,

does not limit the scope of messages meeting the first prong of the definition and instead merely eliminates doubt as to whether SMS and MMS meet that definition. This clarification advances our policy goal of promoting availability of a broad range of communications methodologies for individuals reaching the Lifeline. Further, we think this clarification follows the canon of avoiding rendering language a nullity—if the definition included only SMS and MMS, the first provision would be unnecessary.

- 10. We decline to adopt the text-to-911 text message definition, as recommended by CTIA and T-Mobile. The Truth in Caller ID definition is more recent than the text-to-911 text message definition, and it derives from Congress. The Truth in Caller ID definition expressly identifies that it includes images and sound. Allowing the parties that operate the Lifeline to incorporate graphics or other rich media in addition to textual communications, if they choose to do so, offers members of at-risk communities the means to communicate flexibly and fully with the Lifeline. Furthermore, the limitation of the initial implementation requirement to SMS messages, as discussed below, addresses CTIA and T-Mobile's concerns about meeting the implementation deadline if the Commission were to immediately require implementation of other text formats. The annual review process we establish below, through which the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) will require covered text providers to implement only those texting formats within the outer bound definition that the Lifeline can actually receive, will ensure that covered text providers are not burdened with unnecessary work, and will avoid any consumer confusion that would arise from implementing formats that cannot go through.
- 11. We clarify that the exclusions we adopt from the "988 text message" definition match those exclusions contained in the Truth in Caller ID "text message" definition. We therefore exclude "real-time, two-way voice or video communication[s]," as well as messages sent over "IP-enabled messaging service[s] to another user of the same messaging service" that are not SMS or MMS messages. Similar to the Commission's interpretation in the *Truth in Caller ID Second Report and Order* (84 FR 45669, August 30, 20219), we conclude that "real-

time, two-way voice or video communication" includes voice calling service. We find that the plain language of the Truth in Caller ID exclusion indicates that Congress explicitly intended to exclude real-time, two-way video communication from the definition of "text message. We further "interpret the latter exclusion to include non-MMS or SMS messages sent using IP-enable messaging services" between users of the same service. For example, a message transmitted via an application delivered over IP-based networks, such as Twitter or LinkedIn, to another user of the same messaging service would be excluded from the outer bound definition.

- 12. We decline the Consumer Electronics Association's (CEA's) request to affirmatively determine at this time what particular text messaging formats fit within the outer bound definition. We direct the Bureau to resolve questions concerning the scope of the outer bound during the annual review process by applying the statutory Truth in Caller ID definition and Commission precedent regarding that definition. We clarify that should the Bureau find in the future based on the record before it that rich communications service (RCS), real-time text (RTT), or other formats do not fall within the exclusions from the 988 text message definition, then they may be acceptable formats within the outer bound scope. We anticipate that addressing scope issues as they arise, in the context of specific technologies, will lead to better decisions based on more detailed information than trying to decide well ahead of any specific issue arising.
- 13. Limitation to Currently-Employed Technology. As proposed in the FNPRM, we initially require that covered text providers only support transmission of SMS messages to 988. We adopt the proposed procedure delegating to the Bureau future determinations to require covered text providers to support additional text formats within the outer bound definition, in consultation with our Federal partners and in consideration of what text formats the Lifeline is capable of receiving. We therefore define "covered 988 text message" as a 988 text message in SMS format and any other format that the Wireline Competition Bureau has determined must be supported by covered text providers.

- 14. The record supports requiring transmission of texts to 988 in SMS format. Vibrant indicates that the Lifeline can currently receive and respond to SMS messages sent to the 10-digit number. Furthermore, representatives of covered text providers and public interest groups express support for requiring transmission of SMS messages to 988. In their support for adoption of requirements based on the Commission's text-to-911 rules, CTIA and T-Mobile note the technical feasibility of supporting SMS messages to 988, given that that format is currently supported in texting to 911. CEA also argues that the Commission should, at a minimum, require transmission of text messages in SMS within its broader outer bound definition. Because there is no technical or operational impediment to transmitting SMS messages to 988 expressed by covered text providers, and the Lifeline is currently able to receive and respond to SMS messages, we require covered text providers to support SMS messages to 988.
- 15. We decline at this time to require covered text providers to support other text message formats, such as MMS, RCS, and RTT, because the Lifeline cannot currently receive texts in these formats. The Bureau will consider requiring covered text providers to support these or other additional formats through the Public Notice process we discuss below, should the Lifeline indicate it can receive such formats. While commenters note that rich media communications and next-generation text formats may offer benefits to individuals attempting to access the Lifeline, requiring covered text providers to transmit messages in these formats is premature because we do not know if or when the Lifeline will accept these formats. In addition, as CTIA states, including additional text formats such as RTT and RCS in the scope of our text-to-988 requirements "would cause consumer confusion when the Lifeline is only capable of receiving SMS messages today" and, due to technical and engineering obstacles, would likely delay implementation of text-to-988 service. Finally, with respect to multimedia messages, both the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) and CTIA note that including media in text messages, a feature not currently supported in text-to-911 service, would present technical obstacles that could impede implementation by the July 16, 2022, deadline that we adopt.

Although Vibrant indicates that the Lifeline is technically capable of receiving MMS formats, it clarifies that Lifeline policy and clinical standards "currently block[] images and video from being seen by the counselor." Because of the impediments to transmitting media such as images and video with text to 988, we decline to require covered text providers to support MMS messages to 988.

16. Just as our Federal partners recently added a texting capability to the Lifeline, they may choose to expand the functionality of their texting service over time. It is important for the requirements we establish to keep pace flexibly and readily rather than resorting to a Commission-level proceeding every time the Lifeline can accept a new text format. We therefore direct the Bureau to routinely consult with our Federal partners at SAMHSA and the VA to determine when the Lifeline has implemented a new text message format to 988. We further direct the Bureau, on or before June 30, 2023, and no less frequently than annually thereafter, to propose and seek comment on implementation parameters for covered text providers to transmit any additional text message formats to 988 that the Lifeline is capable of receiving and that are within the scope of the outer bound message definition adopted herein. The Bureau shall identify the additional text messaging format(s) that the Lifeline is capable of receiving, if any; propose and seek comment on an interpretive determination as to whether the additional text message format(s) fall within the outer bound definition; and propose and seek comment on implementation deadline(s) for those additional text message formats. If the Bureau finds after this process that the Lifeline is capable of receiving an additional text format that is within the scope of the outer bound definition that we have established, it shall release a second Public Notice requiring covered text providers to implement text-to-988 using that new format and setting an implementation date that is as prompt as reasonably practical. If the Bureau instead finds that, notwithstanding its initial proposal, the Lifeline is not capable of receiving an additional text format that is within the scope of the outer bound that we have established, it shall issue a Public Notice declining to adopt its initial proposal. The Bureau may set one

implementation deadline or staggered implementation deadlines for different classes of providers, and it shall identify all implementation deadlines with certainty (i.e., by a specified calendar date). In setting a deadline or deadlines for compliance, the Bureau shall assess factors such as technical and financial challenges with respect to implementation, the status of the Lifeline, and the public interest. We find our two-step approach allows us to ensure rapid support for additional texting formats as technology evolves, while providing certainty to the industry and the public. Further, we find this approach facilitates further updates when the Lifeline implements a new texting format without requiring a Commission rulemaking, which often requires more time than Bureau-level action. Accordingly, we direct the Bureau to implement the approach we describe above, including through prescribing implementation deadlines.

- 17. CEA supports the Commission's proposal but asks for the Bureau to conduct annual public hearings rather than develop a written record. We find the proposed Public Notice procedure achieves the same purpose as a public hearing—providing a forum to establish a record regarding expansion of the covered 988 text message definition—while imposing fewer administrative burdens and costs on the public and the Commission. We expect the Bureau to meet with interested parties, as permitted by the Commission's *ex parte* rules.
- 18. We decline to adopt CEA's proposals to bypass our Public Notice procedure and automatically include MMS, RCS, or RTT within the scope of covered 988 text messages if and when the Lifeline is ready to accept those new texting formats. We think the Public Notice process is valuable because it will allow the Bureau to gather information to set appropriate technology-specific implementation deadlines and to evaluate whether a given technology fits within the outer scope of the definition of 988 text message we adopt herein. It also provides the Bureau time to facilitate dialogue between parties should any complications arise. We are concerned that automatic inclusion of certain formats in the future could lead to avoidable problems, and we therefore decline CEA's suggestion.

- 19. We also decline CEA's proposal that, should the Bureau or Commission require inclusion of RCS, RTT, or any other format, covered text providers would be required to support the new format "by the later of (i) three months after the Lifeline states that it is ready to receive such format; or (ii) the date upon which the affected covered text provider begins providing such texting format to its customers generally." We find it best to grant the Bureau flexibility to determine an implementation timeframe appropriate to each technology the Lifeline may implement. We prefer this approach because the Bureau will be able to make a decision based on a thorough record focused on the Lifeline's actual implementation of the technology. We anticipate that some technologies such as RTT that are already generally in use may be easier for covered text providers, especially larger providers, to support if implemented by the Lifeline, and we encourage the Bureau to take ease of implementation and availability of the technology into account when reaching a determination.
- video communication (DVC) and direct dialing from video relay service (VRS) to 988. With respect to VRS, ZP believes that by dialing 988 directly, both a Lifeline counselor and a VRS communications assistant would show up simultaneously. We are not addressing ZP's VRS request at this time because direct 988 dialing for VRS is beyond the scope of this item, which is focused on text-to-988. With respect to DVC, we strongly encourage the development and implementation of direct communications solutions for individuals with disabilities. However, the Lifeline does not receive direct communications via video. Requiring providers to support communications that the Lifeline is not currently capable of receiving would cause consumer confusion, as individuals in crisis may attempt to access the Lifeline via direct video communications without realizing that the Lifeline cannot answer. We are pleased that the Lifeline is available to users of telecommunications relay services, including via 988, and the Lifeline maintains a separate TTY number, and we encourage our Federal partners to continue to consider additional alternative means by which individuals with disabilities may contact the

Lifeline. Users of speech-to-speech services and TTY-based TRS dial 711 first to connect to a communications assistant who will complete the call to the Lifeline.

2. Definition of "Covered Text Provider"

- 21. We adopt our proposed definition of "covered text providers" as that term is defined in the Commission's text-to-911 rules, to include "all CMRS providers, as well as providers of interconnected text messaging services that enable consumers to send text messages to and receive text messages from all or substantially all text-capable U.S. telephone numbers, including through the use of applications downloaded or otherwise installed on mobile phones." We find that the straightforward and well-established definition from the 911 context best delineates the scope of covered text providers obligated to support text-to-988 service.
- 22. The record supports our proposal to adopt the text-to-911 definition of "covered text provider" here. CTIA encourages us to keep the text-to-988 scope consistent with the scope of covered text providers in the text-to-911 context in order to "identify a well-known and experienced scope of providers who will need to work collaboratively with the Lifeline to achieve the aggressive deadline that CTIA and others have suggested." T-Mobile similarly agrees with CTIA that the Commission should look to its text-to-911 rules when establishing the scope of covered text providers in the text-to-988 context. And, as CTIA notes, no commenter suggests an alternative definition to our proposal.
- 23. We require interconnected text messaging service providers, which enable customers to "send text messages to all or substantially all text-capable U.S. telephone numbers and receive text messages from the same," to support text-to-988 service. We decline to apply our requirements to non-interconnected text providers, as CEA suggests. By definition, non-interconnected text providers cannot send text messages to and receive text messages from all or substantially all text-capable U.S. telephone numbers, meaning they are unlikely to be able to transmit texts to and receive texts from 988. Even non-interconnected text providers that use

telephone numbers—for instance where an application uses telephone numbers to identify users relative to each other rather than for routing—may nonetheless be unable to send text messages to users of other services or to all or substantially all telephone numbers. Obligating non-interconnected text providers to attempt to route texts to 988 via telephone numbers when physical routing is beyond such providers' control could increase customer confusion or diminish public trust in texting as a means to reach the Lifeline.

24. Voice on the Net (VON) and Mitel request that we exempt covered text providers in Wi-Fi only locations because "there remain challenges to the reliability of routing text messages to interconnected networks without the benefit of a CMRS provider." We decline at this time to adopt a blanket exemption for covered text providers in Wi-Fi only locations. While we anticipate interconnected text messaging service providers will typically use CMRS-based solutions to support text-to-988, CMRS networks are not the only means of interconnection, and covered text providers may use any reliable method or methods to support text routing and transmission to 988. Furthermore, neither VON nor Mitel elaborate on or provide evidence to support their claims of technical challenges associated with routing without access to a CMRS network, or that such challenges cannot be bypassed by adopting a non-CMRS solution. While we agree with Mitel that "[r]outing messages to the interconnected network often requires access to an underlying wireless network or provider," commenters have not provided sufficient support for us to conclude that covered text providers in Wi-Fi only locations are never able to use a CMRS-based or alternative method to reliably support text routing and transmission to 988. We reiterate that our requirements exclude providers that are unable to allow consumers to send text messages to and receive text messages from all or substantially all text-capable U.S. telephone numbers.

C. Routing Texts to 988

25. We adopt our proposal to require covered text providers to route covered 988 text

messages to the Lifeline's current 10-digit number, 1-800-273-8255 (TALK). Our decision is consistent with the Commission's approach in the *988 Report and Order* (85 FR 57767, September 16, 2020) to require service providers to "transmit all calls initiated by an end user dialing 988 to the current toll free access number for the Lifeline." Most commenters support centralized routing for text-to-988.

- 26. We find our centralized routing rule will allow for swift implementation of text-to-988 to the Lifeline's 10-digit number by lowering technical requirements and costs for covered text providers to route texts to the Lifeline. As Vibrant states, our centralized routing solution for text-to-988 will "allow[] for a seamless delivery of crisis intervention services that is consistent with clinical standards, best practices, and national guidelines overseen by the administrator and SAMHSA." CTIA notes that by requiring centralized routing, "the Commission can significantly lower technical hurdles to enable wireless providers to deploy text-to-988 as soon as possible." ATIS "has not identified any technical challenges associated with" routing covered 988 texts to the Lifeline 10-digit number. We note that several wireless providers were able to implement routing calls to 988 within six months of adoption, and we anticipate that similarly swift implementation may be possible here.
- 27. We also find that adopting our proposal will provide our Federal partners with the flexibility to develop and expand routing solutions to meet the Lifeline's needs. Once text messages are routed to the Lifeline's 10-digit number, the Lifeline can then "forward those messages to the appropriate local crisis center," similar to the current mechanism for voice call routing to 988. Currently, the Lifeline's network consists of over 180 crisis centers, with 33 centers providing text service. SAMHSA has identified resource strain and capacity issues experienced during its rollout of text service to the Lifeline's 10-digit number and, as a result, indicates its intention to explore working with existing crisis text and chat services outside the Lifeline as well as expanding text capacity within the network. We encourage SAMHSA and the VA to work with outside entities as needed to meet increased demand, and we believe our

centralized routing rule will better allow for the Lifeline's network to adapt, evolve, and expand as necessary to meet capacity and technological needs.

28. We decline to require covered text providers to route covered 988 text messages directly to a Lifeline local crisis center or Veterans Crisis Line crisis center. While text-to-911 uses such direct routing, we believe that approach would be counterproductive for text-to-988. We disagree with Intrado's proposal to leverage the existing text-to-911 infrastructure by using Intrado's Text Control Center (TCC) services to transmit texts to 988 directly to an individual local crisis center, once the crisis center has made a valid request for text-to-988 service. This proposal mirrors the text-to-911 rules, where a covered text provider must enable text-to-911 service within six months of a local Public Safety Answering Point's (PSAP's) valid request for service. We are concerned that implementation of a localized routing model would be timeconsuming, contrary to our goal of making text-to-988 rapidly available to all Americans. CTIA and T-Mobile point to specific technical and administrative challenges should the Commission require covered text providers to route texts to 988 to local crisis centers, which would compromise swift implementation by the July 16, 2022, date. ATIS, T-Mobile, and VON also note routing to the local crisis centers would require the adoption of new technical standards and specifications, including the development of intermediate gateway providers at regional centers, which could increase costs and delay launch of text-to-988. Requiring delivery of texts to 988 to individual crisis centers could impede the Lifeline network's future expansion, as covered text providers would need to implement text routing to each new center to ensure that the community served by that center can communicate via text if desired, as opposed to immediate nationwide access through centralized routing. Furthermore, as CTIA points out, "Intrado fails to explain why texts to 9-8-8 should be routed differently from voice calls to 9-8-8." We see no difference between voice and text service to the Lifeline presented in the record that would justify adopting alternate routing infrastructures for either service. In contrast, there are significant differences between 988 and 911, chief among them the nationwide Lifeline voice and text service routed

through a centralized, toll free 10-digit number as opposed to the localized PSAP network.

- 29. We find that it is premature to require covered text providers to enable covered 988 text messages to include location information. As instructed by Congress in the National Suicide Hotline Designation Act of 2020, in April 2021 the Bureau released a report on the costs and feasibility of providing location information with calls to 988. In the report, the Bureau recommended the establishment of a multi-stakeholder advisory committee to develop detailed recommendations on how to address several challenges presented in the record, including privacy considerations, technical implementation, and cost recovery. NAMI and Vibrant reiterate arguments raised in the 988 Geolocation Report that requiring geolocation information with calls and texts to local crisis centers will improve accuracy in connecting individuals in crisis with counselors who are in the best position to provide localized care. Yet, as the Bureau identified in the 988 Geolocation Report, requiring providers to transmit location information to 988 "raises important privacy and legal issues, is technically complex, and could impose significant costs." Several commenters, including ATIS and CTIA, highlight the challenges identified in the 988 Geolocation Report and oppose a location information requirement for textto-988, indicating it would be premature for the Commission to adopt such a mandate without further study and standards development. Given the similar complexity and interrelation between call and text routing to 988, we decline, at this time, NAMI and Vibrant's requests to require location information with texts transmitted to 988. Commenters also raise privacy concerns should the Commission require the transmission of location information without the texter's consent. Given the Bureau's recommendation and the similar concerns raised in the record regarding technical limitations of providing location information, we decline, at the present time, to require covered text providers to include location information with texts to 988.
- 30. We also decline to require covered text providers to take action to route texts to 988 to the Veterans Crisis Line, and we instead defer to our Federal partners to determine whether and how to make it possible to text 988 for the Veterans Crisis Line's text service.

Telephone callers to the Lifeline's 10-digit number can press "1" to connect directly with a crisis counselor at the Veterans Crisis Line. Texting, on the other hand, is not presently integrated texters who wish to reach the Veterans Crisis Line contact a text short code (838255) rather than the Lifeline's toll free 10-digit number. We recognize that there would be significant benefits to enabling texters to reach the Veterans Crisis Line by texting 988. At the same time, we recognize the critical need for carefully developing a pilot program and extensively testing the transfer of texts between 988 and the Veterans Crisis Line to ensure that no Service Member, Veteran, or family member is left without access to lifesaving resources. Any rush to enable texting 988 for the Veterans Crisis Line's text service before sufficient implementation work and testing would raise safety concerns, should any text conversations be dropped or lost in transfer. We believe our Federal partners at the VA and SAMHSA are best positioned to evaluate the benefits, challenges, and costs of transferring texts and to pursue a solution, if desirable. We agree with ATIS that use of 988 "makes it infeasible to automatically route calls to one service or the other" without additional information, such as through a secondary input exchange, to enable providers to correctly route the text to the proper recipient. There is no record support for Commission action to require providers to selectively route texts to 988 to the Veterans Crisis Lifeline's text service. Nor does the record reveal any solutions for requiring providers to implement texting to 988 for the Veterans Crisis Line's text service that we could effectuate in conjunction with requiring providers to implement texting to 988 for the Lifeline. After evaluation and testing, our Federal partners may be able to pursue a workable, reliable approach to enabling texts to 988 to reach the Veterans Crisis Line. At the present time, Service Members, Veterans, and their families may reach the Veterans Crisis Line by calling 1-800-273-8255 and pressing 1, by texting 838255, or by chat through the Veterans Crisis Line's website, https://www.veteranscrisisline.net. We recognize that during the rollout and launch of 988, our Federal partners at the VA will face challenges in promoting widespread public awareness that the Veterans Crisis Line is reachable by text through a short code that is separate from 988. We

direct Commission staff to work cooperatively with our Federal partners to promote awareness of how Service Members, Veterans, and their families can reach the Veterans Crisis Line.

D. Implementation Timeframe

- We adopt our proposal to set a uniform nationwide implementation deadline for 31. text-to-988 of July 16, 2022—concurrent with 988's voice implementation deadline—for all covered text providers to support transmission of all covered 988 text messages. As stated above, this deadline applies only to texts the user sends to 988. It does not apply to texts to the Veterans Crisis Line using its existing short code. Guiding our decision is the need to minimize the time needed to implement text-to-988 so as to help address the growing epidemic of suicide as quickly as possible. By setting a uniform deadline, rollout of text-to-988 will be most effective, enabling stakeholders to clearly and consistently communicate when the public can access texting services universally, while avoiding any confusion stemming from a different deadline than voice implementation. Although a phased-in approach may enable us to set a shorter deadline for some providers, this approach risks confusion not just among those "unaware of the details of staggered regulatory deadlines," but also among those who may seek to call rather than text. Such a scenario "could be disastrous for individuals and, in the aggregate, could erode trust in the Lifeline." Further, we find that a July 16, 2022, deadline provides the Lifeline adequate time to prepare for additional texting volume, with Vibrant expressing confidence following its successful 2021 pilot program that "the Lifeline has the capability to receive text-to-988 messages on the first day of 988 operation." And as ATIS highlights, because we only require that covered text providers send text messages to the Lifeline's 10-digit number, the need for a phased approach is eliminated.
- 32. We specifically set a deadline of July 16, 2022, which nearly all commenters who address timing support. Just as we concluded previously with respect to 988 implementation for voice calls, we set as early of a deadline as possible because of the numerous benefits of swift

implementation in preventing suicide. As explained above, providers need not route calls to individual call centers, eliminating the need for lengthy development of new technical standards and specifications. Some providers themselves also support a July 16, 2022, deadline as providing sufficient time for implementation. Setting a deadline for text-to-988 that matches the existing deadline for implementing calls to 988 also avoids public confusion and enhances the efficacy of marketing campaigns promoting 988. As the Mental Health Associations state, "[d]elaying an implementation deadline [beyond July 2022] will not prevent people in crisis from reaching out to 988 through text," and such individuals will find their "[r]equest for help will go unanswered" without action in this proceeding.

33. We reject VON's arguments that we should set a deadline of 12 months following the effective date of the order due to "[t]he need to develop and implement new routing and technical standards" that may pose challenges to meeting the voice deadline of July 16, 2022. Specifically, VON compares the Lifeline's call centers to PSAPs, explaining how in the context of text-to-911, a new joint standard needed to be created in order to direct texts to the latter. However, as explained above, we do not require that providers route texts to individual call centers, but instead to the Lifeline's toll free 10-digit number. Additionally, VON cites these potential challenges only in vague terms, and claims only that they "might" serve as obstacles to "meeting the voice deadline of July 16, 2022." Moreover, as explained below, the flexible textto-988 rules we adopt in this document do not generate significant technical obstacles, and the record's support for a July 16, 2022, deadline suggests that the issues pertinent to a texting solution specifically can be overcome in the given timeframe. For example, ATIS supports a July 16, 2022, deadline as "reasonable" given that "it is already possible to text the existing Lifeline toll-free number," highlighting that "texting to the new three-digit short code (988) would create no new technical challenges."

E. Technical Considerations

- 34. We adopt our proposal to allow covered text providers to use any reliable method or methods to support text routing and transmission to 988. We reiterate that covered text providers may use any reliable method or methods to support text routing and transmission to 988, and emphasize our neutrality on the technologies that covered text providers use to support text messaging to 988. We find that this approach accounts for currently-available text messaging formats and technologies and also provides the flexibility to adapt to future availability. No commenter opposed our proposal. As ATIS explains, texting to 988 "can and should be implemented in a timely manner[,]" and should "create no technical challenges."
- 35. Network Upgrades. Based on the record, we do not expect that covered text providers will need to install significant network upgrades to implement the texting to 988 requirements adopted herein. Though covered text providers must determine how to support texting to 988 as adopted, the rules we adopt in this document provide the flexibility to choose the most effective method for doing so. For example, covered text providers may choose to route text messages to 988 over their mobile-switched networks or use an IP-based method to deliver text messages to the Lifeline. We are encouraged that many providers have implemented voice calling to 988 a year or more before the implementation deadline, and we envision that covered text providers can also easily implement texting to 988.
- 36. Equipment Upgrades. We find, based on the record, that no significant software or equipment upgrades will be necessary to implement texting to 988. We agree with ATIS, one of the organizations that set the standards for texting to 911, that "[a] focus on functionality rather than technical standards is required to meet the needs of those who communicate primarily via texting." We are not persuaded by VON's argument that, like implementing text-to-911, industry needs to develop new routing and technical standards that may delay text-to-988's implementation. VON generically states that 911 networks and the Lifeline are "two distinct

infrastructures" that will require new standards, but does not explain why these infrastructural differences merit developing new standards. We find more convincing ATIS's assertion that changes to industry standards will "be minimal if, as expected, no changes are required to consumer devices to support text-to-988 requirements" because the bulk of the record indicates that texting to 988's centralized routing solution, limited scope of text messaging service technologies, and other adopted requirements are straightforward to implement by our adoption deadline.

- 37. We exempt legacy devices that are incapable of sending text messages via 3-digit codes from the text-to-988 requirements, provided the software for these devices cannot be upgraded over the air to allow text-to-988. In the *Text-to-911* proceeding, the Commission did not require certain legacy devices to comply with the text-to-911 requirements because "the messaging application or interface on the mobile device will likely provide an error message indicating an invalid destination number, reducing user confusion somewhat" that the legacy device could not support texting to 911. No commenter discussed legacy devices nor indicated that circumstances have changed since the Commission adopted this exemption in the *Text-to-911* proceeding. Accordingly, we find that the same exemption is appropriate here.
- 38. Network Access. We require CMRS providers to allow access to their SMS networks by any other covered text provider for the capabilities necessary to transmit 988 text messages originating on such other covered text providers' networks, similar to the text-to-911 rules. We find this rule is necessary to implement our text to 988 requirement as we anticipate that many interconnected text providers will choose CMRS network-based solutions to implement texting to 988. No commenter opposed providing this network access. Mitel explains that, like in the texting to 911 context, routing messages to interconnected networks often requires access to an underlying wireless network and provider. Similar to the text-to-911 rules, we adopt this requirement to "respond to consumers' reasonable expectations and reduce consumer confusion" regarding text-to-988's availability.

39. Similar to the Commission's position in the *Text-to-911 Second Report and Order* (79 FR 55367, September 14, 2014), we conclude that it is the responsibility of the covered text provider using the CMRS-based solution to ensure that its text messaging service is technically compatible with the CMRS providers' SMS-based network and devices and in conformance with any applicable technical standards. As in the text-to-911 context, we further require CMRS providers to make any necessary specifications for accessing their SMS networks available to other covered text providers upon request, and to inform such covered text providers in advance of any changes to these specifications. We clarify, however, that we do not intend to use these requirements to establish an open-ended obligation for CMRS providers to maintain underlying SMS network support merely for the use of other providers, nor do we require CMRS providers to reconfigure any SMS text-to-988 platforms in order to facilitate the ability of other covered text providers to access the CMRS providers' networks. Further, as with the text-to-911 rules, CMRS providers' obligation to allow access to CMRS networks "is limited to the extent that the CMRS providers offers SMS." While we expect that adopting these rules will similarly encourage "interconnected text providers to actively develop solutions to support [text-to-988] without reliance on CMRS providers' underlying networks," we nonetheless encourage providers to enact solutions to carry other covered text providers' text messages to 988 over their networks.

F. Other Issues

40. Cost Recovery. We adopt our proposal to require all covered text providers to bear their own costs to implement text-to-988. We find that this approach promotes efficiency in implementation and avoids unnecessary administrative costs. In the 988 Report and Order, we observed that "[u]nlike previous numbering proceedings in which the Commission established a cost recovery mechanism," implementation of 988 itself does not involve "shared industry costs such as central or regional numbering databases or third-party administrators." Similarly, we conclude that implementation of a text-to-988 solution requires no shared industry costs, with

costs being provider-specific and solutions unique to each. As such, as proposed in the FNPRM we find that the requirements in section 251(e)(2) of the Act that "[t]he cost of establishing telecommunications numbering administration arrangements and number portability shall be borne by all telecommunications carriers on a competitively neutral basis" does not apply.

41. Bounce-back Messages. We decline to require covered text providers to send an automatic bounce-back message specifically designed to address where text-to-988 service is unavailable for several reasons. First, the record indicates that failed messages are likely to be rare. CTIA explains that network failures are "rare due to redundancies in the SMS network" and Vibrant indicates that to date the Lifeline's text messaging service has not experienced any downtime. Second, in the rare instance that covered text providers fail to deliver a text message to the Lifeline, current notice practices are sufficient. Individuals texting the Lifeline currently receive a bounce-back message under a variety of circumstances. CTIA explains that covered text providers usually send customers a notification from a device or network when a CMRS provider cannot deliver a text message due to a network failure. Vibrant also indicates that the Lifeline currently sends individuals scheduled text messages approximately every 10 minutes if there is a wait to reach a crisis counselor that informs them they are in the queue, offers access to other resources while they wait, and provides the option to call the Lifeline. Consequently, we further agree with commenters that to the extent operational concerns, network congestion, or outsized demand prevent texters from reaching a crisis counselor, the parties that operate the Lifeline are in the best position to send a message to texters because covered text providers do not have visibility into the Lifeline's operations. Third, we decline to require 988-specific bounce-back messages because such a mandate risks delay of text-to-988 implementation. We recognize comments from CTIA which state that developing a bounce-back messaging capability "would require substantial additional time and complexity, as well as the development of standards and requirements for implementation, and would significantly delay the July 16, 2022 implementation target." T-Mobile further asserts that when a CMRS provider has not delivered

a text message to the Lifeline due to network congestion, sending a Lifeline-specific automatic bounce-back message could be technically infeasible because "[c]arriers cannot determine if a text sent to the 10-digit Lifeline number has not been delivered due to network congestion or other factors related to nature of SMS generally."

- 42. Finally, a key circumstance that prompted the Commission to require automatic bounce-back messaging for text-to-911 are not present for text-to-988. In the Text-to-911 proceeding, the Commission adopted an automatic bounce-back messaging requirement because texting was and is only available to some PSAPs, and Americans in many parts of the country could not text 911 at all. In contrast, our centralized routing approach ensures that texting to 988 will be uniformly available nationwide. The unique geographic gaps that the bounce-back requirement addresses in the 911 context are not present here. It is possible that, as in the textto-911 context, requiring a bounce-back message for text-to-988 could help "persons in emergency situations being able to know immediately if a text message has been delivered to the proper authorities" in the limited situations when consumers cannot send text messages to the Lifeline. However, given the urgency of improving access to lifesaving suicide prevention resources, and in light of existing protections against and in the event of a delivery failure, we decline to a bounce-back messaging requirement for text-to-988 at this time. We will monitor the operation of texting to 988 post-implementation and will not hesitate to revisit the issue of requiring a bounce-back if warranted.
- implementation of 988 with SAMHSA, including any issues pertaining to the delivery of text messages to 988. We direct the Bureau and Commission staff to support the VA in promoting awareness of texting options for Service Members, Veterans, and their families, and to support the VA and SAMHSA in piloting, testing, and implementing any solution our Federal partners may choose to pursue to allow texting to 988 for the Veterans Crisis Line's text service. We also encourage SAMHSA to continue to work to expand the Lifeline's texting infrastructure. We will

continue to work with and support our Federal partners in their efforts to assist Americans in crisis.

44. Future Technical Corrections to Lifeline 10-Digit Number. In our rules, we identify the current 10-digit telephone number of the Lifeline, 1-800-273-8255 (TALK). We direct the Bureau, after notice and comment, to update this reference to the correct number if the Lifeline ever changes telephone numbers. This direction applies to the text-to-988 rules we adopt in this document and to our previously-adopted 988 telephone rules.

G. Legal Authority

- Communications and Video Accessibility Act (CVAA) provide us with authority for the rules we adopt in this document. No commenter opposes these conclusions. With respect to CMRS providers, we find that Title III provides us the authority to require wireless carriers to enable and support text-to-988 service. Consistent with the U.S. Supreme Court's recognition that Title III provides the Commission a "broad mandate" to manage spectrum usage in the public interest, we find that significant public interest benefits will likely inure from broadly enabling access to lifesaving services through texting. Further, the rules adopted here are analogous to those the Commission adopted to facilitate text-to-911, which relied in part on the Commission's Title III authority. Therefore, with respect to CMRS providers, we conclude that Title III provides sufficient authority for the rules we adopt in this document.
- 46. As to interconnected text messaging service providers, the CVAA granted us authority to adopt "other regulations . . . as are necessary to achieve reliable, interoperable communication that ensures access by individuals with disabilities to an Internet protocolenabled emergency network." We conclude that the Lifeline constitutes an "emergency network" within the meaning of the CVAA. The CVAA does not define what an "emergency network" is, nor does it elaborate on what qualifies as "emergency services." However,

Congress, through the National Suicide Hotline Designation Act, deemed "life-saving resources" such as the Lifeline and the Veterans Crisis Line "essential" and recognized the need for an "easy-to-remember, 3-digit phone number"—that is, one readily available in an emergency situation. As CTIA argues, it is therefore reasonable to conclude that such services should be considered "emergency services" and that the Lifeline and Veterans Crisis Line act as an "emergency network" within the meaning of the CVAA. Moreover, texting capabilities provide "easy access to emergency services for people with disabilities," including those with hearing and speech disabilities. Such individuals may not be able to take advantage of 988's voice service, necessitating that an alternative means of communicating be provided. We therefore conclude that the CVAA provides authority for the rules we adopt in this document, and the record reflects agreement with our analysis. Because we find that Title III and the CVAA provide sufficient authority for the rules we adopt in this document, we find it unnecessary to address other possible sources of authority to adopt these rules.

H. Benefits and Costs of Text-to-988

47. Consistent with our proposal in the FNPRM, we find that benefits of requiring service providers to support text-to-988 far exceed the costs of implementation. The loss of victims' lives to suicide cannot be adequately captured by any pecuniary measure; the principal benefit of text-to-988 is that it will reduce suicide risk by providing an additional means of reaching help for the most vulnerable. Text-to-988 will reduce the risk of suicide mortality, primarily among those who would either send a text to 988 or forgo a lifesaving intervention altogether. Three vulnerable communities, in particular, face this stark choice: youth, who rely heavily on text messages for their general communications needs; the deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, and speech disabled; and those who are reluctant to dial 988 because they feel unsafe, ashamed or embarrassed, including many LGBTQ+ youth and victims of domestic abuse. As outlined above, the ability to text to the short and easy-to-remember 988 code will make the lifesaving interventions of the Lifeline crisis centers even more accessible than dialing alone. As

no commenter in the record disputes, we find that the benefits of implementing text-to-988 will quickly exceed costs, and dwarf them over time.

- 48. In the FNPRM, we estimated the cost of implementing text to 988 would be nearly \$27 million over five years. We based our estimate on Intrado's existing estimates of the costs of upgrading 911 call centers to receive text messages. Although one commenter asserts that the costs of implementation are likely to be "substantially lower" than our estimate, no commenters provided any individual estimates or disputed our underlying approach or our estimate of the combined total cost of nearly \$27 million with an alternate figure. We agree that implementation costs may be lower than we projected. However, since no commenter provided an estimate of the impact of these potential reductions, we find it prudent to rely on our original estimate.
- 49. Commenters suggest quantifiable benefits that would greatly exceed these costs. For example, the Mental Health Associations emphasize that improved access to "mental health response to mental health crises" will result in cost savings for communities and individuals. These "[e]mergency department visits for mental health and substance use disorders cost an average of \$520 across 10.7 million visits in 2017, for a total cost nationwide of nearly \$5.6 billion." Any reduction in these visits and resulting cost savings are benefits of implementing text-to-988. In addition, the Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) points to an evaluation of Nevada's TextToday pilot program, one of the country's first crisis response lines that accepted text messages. The evaluation found an increase in help-seeking by youth and a preference for texting. Groups that would be especially likely to benefit from text-to-988 are members of the LGBTQ+ community, and deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, and speech-disabled adults. Between 2015 and 2019, we estimate there were more than 39,000 suicides among youth 10-19, LGBTQ+ adults, and deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, and speech-disabled adults. If textto-988 reduces the annual risk of suicide mortality among these groups and others by even a very small amount, the benefits would easily outweigh the costs of implementing text-to-988.

II. FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

1. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was incorporated into the FNPRM, released April 2021. The Commission sought written public comments on the proposals in the FNPRM, including comment on the IRFA. No comments were filed addressing the IRFA. Because the Commission amends its rules in the Second Report and Order, the Commission has included this Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA). This present FRFA conforms to the RFA.

A. Need for, and Objectives of, the Rules

- 2. In the Second Report and Order, the Commission adopts rules requiring CMRS providers and providers of interconnected text messaging services that enable consumers to send text messages to, and receive text messages from, all or substantially all text-capable U.S. telephone numbers, including through the use of applications downloaded or otherwise installed on mobile phones (covered text providers) to enable delivery of text messages to 988. The Commission further requires that covered text providers route 988 text messages to the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline's (Lifeline) 10-digit number, currently 1-800-273-8255 (TALK). The Commission believes these rules will expand the availability of mental health and crisis counseling resources to Americans who suffer from depressive or suicidal thoughts, by allowing individuals in crisis to reach the Lifeline by texting 988.
 - **B.** Summary of Significant Issues Raised by Public Comments in Response to the IRFA
- 3. There were no comments filed that specifically addressed the proposed rules and policies presented in the IRFA.
 - C. Response to Comments by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small

 Business Administration
 - 4. Pursuant to the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, which amended the RFA, the

Commission is required to respond to any comments filed by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA), and to provide a detailed statement of any change made to the proposed rules as a result of those comments.

- 5. The Chief Counsel did not file any comments in response to the proposed rules in this proceeding.
 - D. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the RulesWill Apply
- 6. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of, and where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the final rules adopted pursuant to the Second Report and Order. The RFA generally defines the term "small entity" as having the same meaning as the terms "small business," "small organization," and "small governmental jurisdiction." In addition, the term "small business" has the same meaning as the term "small-business concern" under the Small Business Act. A "small-business concern" is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA.
- 7. Small Businesses, Small Organizations, Small Governmental Jurisdictions. Our actions, over time, may affect small entities that are not easily categorized at present. We therefore describe here, at the outset, three broad groups of small entities that could be directly affected herein. First, while there are industry specific size standards for small businesses that are used in the regulatory flexibility analysis, according to data from the SBA's Office of Advocacy, in general a small business is an independent business having fewer than 500 employees. These types of small businesses represent 99.9% of all businesses in the United States, which translates to 30.7 million businesses.
- 8. Next, the type of small entity described as a "small organization" is generally "any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in

its field." The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) uses a revenue benchmark of \$50,000 or less to delineate its annual electronic filing requirements for small exempt organizations. Nationwide, for tax year 2018, there were approximately 571,709 small exempt organizations in the U.S. reporting revenues of \$50,000 or less according to the registration and tax data for exempt organizations available from the IRS.

- 9. Finally, the small entity described as a "small governmental jurisdiction" is defined generally as "governments of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand." U.S. Census Bureau data from the 2017 Census of Governments indicate that there were 90,075 local governmental jurisdictions consisting of general purpose governments and special purpose governments in the United States. Of this number there were 36,931 general purpose governments (county, municipal and town or township) with populations of less than 50,000 and 12,040 special purpose governments independent school districts with enrollment populations of less than 511 governmental jurisdictions.
- industry as "establishments primarily engaged in operating and/or providing access to transmission facilities and infrastructure that they own and/or lease for the transmission of voice, data, text, sound, and video using wired communications networks. Transmission facilities may be based on a single technology or a combination of technologies. Establishments in this industry use the wired telecommunications network facilities that they operate to provide a variety of services, such as wired telephony services, including [voice over Internet protocol] VoIP services, wired (cable) audio and video programming distribution, and wired broadband internet services. By exception, establishments providing satellite television distribution services using facilities and infrastructure that they operate are included in this industry." The SBA has developed a small business size standard for Wired Telecommunications Carriers, which consists of all such companies having 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012

show that there were 3,117 firms that operated that year. Of this total, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Thus, under this size standard, the majority of firms in this industry can be considered small.

- 11. Local Exchange Carriers (LECs). Neither the Commission nor the SBA has developed a size standard for small businesses specifically applicable to local exchange services. The closest applicable North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code category is Wired Telecommunications Carriers. Under the applicable SBA size standard, such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 3,117 firms that operated for the entire year. Of that total, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Thus under this category and the associated size standard, the Commission estimates that the majority of local exchange carriers are small entities.
- 12. Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (Incumbent LECs). Neither the Commission nor the SBA has developed a small business size standard specifically for incumbent local exchange services. The closest applicable NAICS Code category is Wired Telecommunications Carriers. Under the applicable SBA size standard, such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 indicate that 3,117 firms operated the entire year. Of this total, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Consequently, the Commission estimates that most providers of incumbent local exchange service are small businesses that may be affected by our actions. According to Commission data, one thousand three hundred and seven (1,307) Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers reported that they were incumbent local exchange service providers. Of this total, an estimated 1,006 have 1,500 or fewer employees. Thus, using the SBA's size standard the majority of incumbent LECs can be considered small entities.
- 13. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (Competitive LECs). Competitive Access Providers (CAPs), Shared-Tenant Service Providers, and Other Local Service Providers.

Neither the Commission nor the SBA has developed a small business size standard specifically for these service providers. The appropriate NAICS Code category is Wired Telecommunications Carriers and under that size standard, such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 indicate that 3,117 firms operated during that year. Of that number, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Based on these data, the Commission concludes that the majority of Competitive LECS, CAPs, Shared-Tenant Service Providers, and Other Local Service Providers, are small entities. According to Commission data, 1,442 carriers reported that they were engaged in the provision of either competitive local exchange services or competitive access provider services. Of these 1,442 carriers, an estimated 1,256 have 1,500 or fewer employees. In addition, 17 carriers have reported that they are Shared-Tenant Service Providers, and all 17 are estimated to have 1,500 or fewer employees. Also, 72 carriers have reported that they are Other Local Service Providers. Of this total, 70 have 1,500 or fewer employees. Consequently, based on internally researched FCC data, the Commission estimates that most providers of competitive local exchange service, competitive access providers, Shared-Tenant Service Providers, and Other Local Service Providers are small entities.

14. *Interexchange Carriers (IXCs)*. Neither the Commission nor the SBA has developed a small business size standard specifically for Interexchange Carriers. The closest applicable NAICS Code category is Wired Telecommunications Carriers. The applicable size standard under SBA rules is that such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 indicate that 3,117 firms operated for the entire year. Of that number, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. According to internally developed Commission data, 359 companies reported that their primary telecommunications service activity was the provision of interexchange services. Of this total, an estimated 317 have 1,500 or fewer employees. Consequently, the Commission estimates that the majority of interexchange service providers are small entities.

- 15. Local Resellers. The SBA has not developed a small business size standard specifically for Local Resellers. The SBA category of Telecommunications Resellers is the closest NAICS code category for local resellers. The Telecommunications Resellers industry comprises establishments engaged in purchasing access and network capacity from owners and operators of telecommunications networks and reselling wired and wireless telecommunications services (except satellite) to businesses and households. Establishments in this industry resell telecommunications; they do not operate transmission facilities and infrastructure. Mobile virtual network operators (MVNOs) are included in this industry. Under the SBA's size standard, such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data from 2012 show that 1,341 firms provided resale services during that year. Of that number, all operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Thus, under this category and the associated small business size standard, the majority of these resellers can be considered small entities. According to Commission data, 213 carriers have reported that they are engaged in the provision of local resale services. Of these, an estimated 211 have 1,500 or fewer employees and two have more than 1,500 employees. Consequently, the Commission estimates that the majority of local resellers are small entities.
- 16. Toll Resellers. The Commission has not developed a definition for Toll Resellers. The closest NAICS Code Category is Telecommunications Resellers. The Telecommunications Resellers industry comprises establishments engaged in purchasing access and network capacity from owners and operators of telecommunications networks and reselling wired and wireless telecommunications services (except satellite) to businesses and households. Establishments in this industry resell telecommunications; they do not operate transmission facilities and infrastructure. MVNOs are included in this industry. The SBA has developed a small business size standard for the category of Telecommunications Resellers. Under that size standard, such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. 2012 U.S. Census Bureau data show that 1,341 firms provided resale services during that year. Of that number, 1,341 operated with fewer

than 1,000 employees. Thus, under this category and the associated small business size standard, the majority of these resellers can be considered small entities. According to Commission data, 881 carriers have reported that they are engaged in the provision of toll resale services. Of this total, an estimated 857 have 1,500 or fewer employees. Consequently, the Commission estimates that the majority of toll resellers are small entities.

- definition for small businesses specifically applicable to Other Toll Carriers. This category includes toll carriers that do not fall within the categories of interexchange carriers, operator service providers, prepaid calling card providers, satellite service carriers, or toll resellers. The closest applicable size standard under SBA rules is for Wired Telecommunications Carriers. The applicable SBA size standard consists of all such companies having 1,500 or fewer employees.

 U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 indicates that 3,117 firms operated during that year. Of that number, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Thus, under this category and the associated small business size standard, the majority of Other Toll Carriers can be considered small. According to internally developed Commission data, 284 companies reported that their primary telecommunications service activity was the provision of other toll carriage. Of these, an estimated 279 have 1,500 or fewer employees. Consequently, the Commission estimates that most Other Toll Carriers are small entities.
- developed a small business definition specifically for prepaid calling card providers. The most appropriate NAICS code-based category for defining prepaid calling card providers is Telecommunications Resellers. This industry comprises establishments engaged in purchasing access and network capacity from owners and operators of telecommunications networks and reselling wired and wireless telecommunications services (except satellite) to businesses and households. Establishments in this industry resell telecommunications; they do not operate transmission facilities and infrastructure. MVNOs are included in this industry. Under the

applicable SBA size standard, such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that 1,341 firms provided resale services during that year. Of that number, 1,341 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Thus, under this category and the associated small business size standard, the majority of these prepaid calling card providers can be considered small entities. According to the Commission's Form 499 Filer Database, 86 active companies reported that they were engaged in the provision of prepaid calling cards. The Commission does not have data regarding how many of these companies have 1,500 or fewer employees, however, the Commission estimates that the majority of the 86 active prepaid calling card providers that may be affected by these rules are likely small entities.

- 19. Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite). This industry comprises establishments engaged in operating and maintaining switching and transmission facilities to provide communications via the airwaves. Establishments in this industry have spectrum licenses and provide services using that spectrum, such as cellular services, paging services, wireless internet access, and wireless video services. The appropriate size standard under SBA rules is that such a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. For this industry, U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 967 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 955 firms employed fewer than 1,000 employees and 12 firms employed of 1000 employees or more. Thus under this category and the associated size standard, the Commission estimates that the majority of Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite) are small entities.
- 20. The Commission's own data—available in its Universal Licensing System—indicate that, as of August 31, 2018, there are 265 Cellular licensees that will be affected by our actions. The Commission does not know how many of these licensees are small, as the Commission does not collect that information for these types of entities. Similarly, according to internally developed Commission data, 413 carriers reported that they were engaged in the provision of wireless telephony, including cellular service, Personal Communications Service

- (PCS), and Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) Telephony services. Of this total, an estimated 261 have 1,500 or fewer employees, and 152 have more than 1,500 employees. Thus, using available data, we estimate that the majority of wireless firms can be considered small.
- 21. Cable and Other Subscription Programming. The U.S. Census Bureau defines this industry as establishments primarily engaged in operating studios and facilities for the broadcasting of programs on a subscription or fee basis. The broadcast programming is typically narrowcast in nature (e.g., limited format, such as news, sports, education, or youth-oriented). These establishments produce programming in their own facilities or acquire programming from external sources. The programming material is usually delivered to a third party, such as cable systems or direct-to-home satellite systems, for transmission to viewers. The SBA size standard for this industry establishes as small any company in this category with annual receipts less than \$41.5 million. Based on U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012, 367 firms operated for the entire year. Of that number, 319 firms operated with annual receipts of less than \$25 million a year and 48 firms operated with annual receipts of \$25 million or more. Based on this data, the Commission estimates that a majority of firms in this industry are small.
- 22. Cable Companies and Systems (Rate Regulation). The Commission has also developed its own small business size standards, for the purpose of cable rate regulation. Under the Commission's rules, a "small cable company" is one serving 400,000 or fewer subscribers nationwide. Industry data indicate that there are 4,600 active cable systems in the United States. Of this total, all but five cable operators nationwide are small under the 400,000-subscriber size standard. In addition, under the Commission's rate regulation rules, a "small system" is a cable system serving 15,000 or fewer subscribers. Commission records show 4,600 cable systems nationwide. Of this total, 3,900 cable systems have fewer than 15,000 subscribers, and 700 systems have 15,000 or more subscribers, based on the same records. Thus, under this standard as well, we estimate that most cable systems are small entities.

- 23. Cable System Operators (Telecom Act Standard). The Communications Act of 1934, as amended, also contains a size standard for small cable system operators, which is "a cable operator that, directly or through an affiliate, serves in the aggregate fewer than one percent of all subscribers in the United States and is not affiliated with any entity or entities whose gross annual revenues in the aggregate exceed \$250,000,000." As of 2019, there were approximately 48,646,056 basic cable video subscribers in the United States. Accordingly, an operator serving fewer than 486,460 subscribers shall be deemed a small operator if its annual revenues, when combined with the total annual revenues of all its affiliates, do not exceed \$250 million in the aggregate. Based on available data, we find that all but five cable operators are small entities under this size standard. We note that the Commission neither requests nor collects information on whether cable system operators are affiliated with entities whose gross annual revenues exceed \$250 million. Therefore, we are unable at this time to estimate with greater precision the number of cable system operators that would qualify as small cable operators under the definition in the Communications Act.
- 24. All Other Telecommunications. The "All Other Telecommunications" category is comprised of establishments primarily engaged in providing specialized telecommunications services, such as satellite tracking, communications telemetry, and radar station operation. This industry also includes establishments primarily engaged in providing satellite terminal stations and associated facilities connected with one or more terrestrial systems and capable of transmitting telecommunications to, and receiving telecommunications from, satellite systems. Establishments providing Internet services or VoIP services via client-supplied telecommunications connections are also included in this industry. The SBA has developed a small business size standard for "All Other Telecommunications", which consists of all such firms with annual receipts of \$35 million or less. For this category, U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 1,442 firms that operated for the entire year. Of those firms, a total of 1,400 had annual receipts less than \$25 million and 15 firms had annual receipts of \$25 million

to \$49, 999,999. Thus, the Commission estimates that the majority of "All Other Telecommunications" firms potentially affected by our action can be considered small.

- Manufacturing. This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing radio and television broadcast and wireless communications equipment. Examples of products made by these establishments are: transmitting and receiving antennas, cable television equipment, Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment, pagers, cellular phones, mobile communications equipment, and radio and television studio and broadcasting equipment. The SBA has established a small business size standard for this industry of 1,250 employees or less. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that 841 establishments operated in this industry in that year. Of that number, 828 establishments operated with fewer than 1,000 employees, 7 establishments operated with between 1,000 and 2,499 employees and 6 establishments operated with 2,500 or more employees. Based on this data, we conclude that a majority of manufacturers in this industry are small.
- 26. Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing. This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing semiconductors and related solid state devices. Examples of products made by these establishments are integrated circuits, memory chips, microprocessors, diodes, transistors, solar cells and other optoelectronic devices. The SBA has developed a small business size standard for Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing, which consists of all such companies having 1,250 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 862 establishments that operated that year. Of this total, 843 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Thus, under this size standard, the majority of firms in this industry can be considered small.
- 27. *Software Publishers*. This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in computer software publishing or publishing and reproduction. Establishments in this industry

carry out operations necessary for producing and distributing computer software, such as designing, providing documentation, assisting in installation, and providing support services to software purchasers. These establishments may design, develop, and publish, or publish only. The SBA has established a size standard for this industry of annual receipts of \$41.5 million or less per year. U.S. Census data for 2012 indicates that 5,079 firms operated for the entire year. Of that number 4,691 firms had annual receipts of less than \$25 million and 166 firms had annual receipts of \$25,000,000 to \$49,999,999. Based on this data, we conclude that a majority of firms in this industry are small.

- 28. Internet Service Providers (Broadband). Broadband Internet service providers include wired (e.g., cable, digital subscriber line (DSL)) and VoIP service providers using their own operated wired telecommunications infrastructure fall in the category of Wired Telecommunication Carriers. Wired Telecommunications Carriers are comprised of establishments primarily engaged in operating and/or providing access to transmission facilities and infrastructure that they own and/or lease for the transmission of voice, data, text, sound, and video using wired telecommunications networks. Transmission facilities may be based on a single technology or a combination of technologies. The SBA size standard for this category classifies a business as small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 3,117 firms that operated that year. Of this total, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees. Consequently, under this size standard the majority of firms in this industry can be considered small.
- 29. Internet Service Providers (Non-Broadband). Internet access service providers such as dial-up Internet service providers (ISPs), VoIP service providers using client-supplied telecommunications connections and Internet service providers using client-supplied telecommunications connections (e.g., dial-up ISPs) fall in the category of All Other Telecommunications. The SBA has developed a small business size standard for All Other Telecommunications which consists of all such firms with gross annual receipts of \$35 million or

less. For this category, U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 1,442 firms that operated for the entire year. Of these firms, a total of 1,400 had gross annual receipts of less than \$25 million. Consequently, under this size standard a majority of firms in this industry can be considered small.

30. All Other Information Services. The U.S. Census Bureau has determined that this category "comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing other information services (except news syndicates, libraries, archives, Internet publishing and broadcasting, and Web search portals)." The SBA has developed a small business size standard for this category, which consists of all such firms with annual receipts of \$30 million or less. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that there were 512 firms that operated for the entire year. Of those firms, a total of 498 had annual receipts less than \$25 million and 7 firms had annual receipts of \$25 million to \$49,999,999. Consequently, we estimate that the majority of these firms are small entities that may be affected by our action.

E. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements for Small Entities

31. The Second Report and Order modifies the Commission's rules to require covered text providers to support text messaging to 988. It concludes that text-to-988 functionality will greatly improve consumer access to the Lifeline, particularly for at-risk populations and thereby save lives. The final rules adopted in the Second Report and Order require CMRS providers and interconnected text messaging service providers to route texts sent to 988 to the 10-digit Lifeline number, presently 1-800-273-8255 (TALK). The Second Report and Order (1) establishes a definition that sets the outer bound of text messages sent to 988 that covered text providers may be required to support; (2) directs the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) to identify text formats within the scope of that definition that the Lifeline can receive and thus covered text providers must support by routing to the 10-digit Lifeline number; and (3) requires CMRS

providers that offer SMS to allow access by any other covered text provider to the capabilities necessary for transmission of 988 text messages originating on such other covered text providers' application services.

- F. Steps Taken to Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered
- 32. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant, specifically small business, alternatives that it has considered in reaching its approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): "(1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rules for such small entities; (3) the use of performance rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for such small entities."
- implementation deadline for all covered text providers to route covered 988 text messages to 988 to the Lifeline's 10-digit number by July 16, 2022. The Commission believes that applying the same rules equally to all entities in this context is necessary to alleviate potential consumer confusion from adopting different rules, at different times, for different covered text providers. However, the Commission does not believe that the actions in the Second Report and Order will overly burden small carriers or providers. Further, the Commission believes that by its actions, all entities, including small carriers or providers, will benefit from reduced costs. For example, the Commission believes that adopting our proposal to require all covered text providers to bear their own costs to implement text-to-988 will avoid any unnecessary administrative costs.

 Further, the Commission provides covered text provider flexibility in how they support texting to 988, allowing them to choose the most effective method for doing so.

G. Report to Congress

34. The Commission will send a copy of the Second Report and Order, including this FRFA, in a report to be sent to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act. In addition, the Commission will send a copy of the Second Report and Order, including this FRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA. A copy of the Second Report and Order and FRFA (or summaries thereof) will also be published in the Federal Register.

III. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

- 35. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis. This document does not contain proposed information collection(s) subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).
- 36. Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980,103 the Commission has prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) of the possible significant economic impact on small entities of the policies and rules, as proposed, addressed in the Second Report and Order. The FRFA is set forth in Appendix B of the Second Report and Order. The Commission will send a copy of the Second Report and Order, including the FRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA).
- 37. Congressional Review Act. The Commission has determined, and the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, concurs that this rule is non-major under the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 804(2). The Commission will send a copy of the Second Report and Order to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).
- 38. People with Disabilities. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (tty).
- 39. *Contact Person*. For further information about this rulemaking proceeding, please contact Michelle Sclater, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-0388 or michelle.sclater@fcc.gov.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 40. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 201, 251(e)(4), 301, 303, 307, 309, 316, and 615c of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 201, 251(e)(4), 301, 303, 307, 309, 316, 615c, that the Second Report and Order in WC Docket No. 18-336 IS ADOPTED.
- 41. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to §§ 1.4(b)(1) and 1.103(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.4(b)(1), 1.103(a), the Report and Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.
- 42. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that part 52 of the Commission's rules IS AMENDED as set forth in Appendix A of the Second Report and Order.
- 43. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission SHALL SEND a copy of the Second Report and Order to Congress and to the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).
- 44. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of the Second Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA), to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 52

Communications common carriers, Telecommunications, Telephone.

(47 U.S.C. 201, 251, 301, 303, 307, 309, 316)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Katura Jackson,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

Final Rules

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52 – NUMBERING

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 201-205, 207-209, 218, 225-227, 251-252, 271, 303, 332, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart E –Universal Dialing Code for National Suicide Prevention and Mental Health Crisis Hotline System

2. Add § 52.201 to read as follows:

§ 52.201 Texting to the National Suicide Prevention and Mental Health Crisis Hotline.

- (a) Support for 988 text message service. Beginning July 16, 2022, all covered text providers must route a covered 988 text message to the current toll free access number for the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline, presently 1-800-273-8255 (TALK).
- (b) Access to SMS networks for 988 text messages. To the extent that Commercial Mobile Radio Services (CMRS) providers offer Short Message Service (SMS), they shall allow access by any other covered text provider to the capabilities necessary for transmission of 988 text messages originating on such other covered text providers' application services.
 - (c) Definitions. For purposes of this section:

988 text message. (i) Means a message consisting of text, images, sounds, or other information that is transmitted to or from a device that is identified as the receiving or transmitting device by means of a 10-digit telephone number, N11 service code, or 988;

(ii) Includes and is not limited to a SMS message and a multimedia

message service (MMS) message; and

(iii) Does not include—

(A) A real-time, two-way voice or video communication; or

(B) A message sent over an IP-enabled messaging service to another user

of the same messaging service, except a message described in paragraph (b) of

this section.

Covered 988 text message means a 988 text message in SMS format and any other

format that the Wireline Competition Bureau has determined must be supported by

covered text providers.

Covered text provider includes all CMRS providers as well as all providers of

interconnected text messaging services that enable consumers to send text messages to

and receive text messages from all or substantially all text-capable U.S. telephone

numbers, including through the use of applications downloaded or otherwise installed on

mobile phones.

Multimedia message service (MMS) shall have the same definition as the term in

§ 64.1600(k) of this chapter.

Short message service (SMS) shall have the same definition as the term in §

64.1600(m) of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 2021-27878 Filed: 1/4/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 1/5/2022]